Group action on Riemann surfaces and Hurwitz's theorem

Thomas Giarrizzi

Abstract

Studying Riemann surfaces and the groups acting on them is quite satisfying.

Firstly, because we are working in small dimensions, which greatly facilitates visualization and the intuition behind certain results.

Secondly, the rigidity of the complex analytic structure brings its share of rich properties (orientability, extension of holomorphic functions, open application theorem, etc.), all coupled with assumptions such as connectedness and sometimes compactness, gives the feeling of being in a almost-perfect world in which to live. And thirdly, because we are at the frontier of several wonderful worlds such as topology, geometry (differential and algebraic), complex analysis and, of course, algebra. So I would like to thank Andreas Höring for introducing me to this (very) interesting subject, and also for guiding me through the 8 weeks it took to complete this thesis.

In the first part (Introduction), we will recall a few essential results on Riemann surfaces, in a fairly formal way. The aim is clearly not to enumerate a large part of this theory, but only to review certain theorems that will be useful for what follows.

The second part, which concerns the essence of the subject, will be devoted to group actions on Riemann surfaces. The program includes: -The construction of quotient Riemann surfaces.

-Hurwitz's theorem, which provides a bound (equal to 84(g-1)) on the cardinal of the automorphism group of a compact surface of genus $g \ge 2$.

-A uniformization theorem for surfaces.

-The action of Fuchsian groups on the Poincaré half-plane.

The third part, more computational, completes the previous section by providing some examples of group actions on surfaces of "small" genus (0 to 3).

The case g = 3 will be of particular interest and will show that the bound 84(g-1) is optimal, taking as a Riemann surface the Klein quartic which has its automorphism group of cardinal 168.

Contents

1	Introduction				
	1.1	Basic Notions about Riemann surfaces	4		
	1.2	Branched Covering	12		
	1.3	Punctured Compact Riemann Surface	13		
	1.4	Algebraic Curves and Compactification	15		
2	Group actions on Riemann surfaces				
	2.1	Cyclicity and finiteness of stabilizer subgroups	18		
	2.2	Riemann quotient surface structure on X/G	20		
	2.3	Hurwitz theorem	25		
	2.4	Finiteness of $Aut(X)$ via Fuchsian groups	26		
3	Examples of Finite Group Actions and Related Results				
3	Exa	mples of Finite Group Actions and Related Results	32		
3	Exa 3.1	mples of Finite Group Actions and Related Results Actions on the Riemann sphere ($g = 0$)	32 32		
3	Exa 3.1 3.2	mples of Finite Group Actions and Related Results Actions on the Riemann sphere $(g = 0) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ Actions on a complex torus $(g = 1) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	32 32 34		
3	Exa 3.1 3.2 3.3	mples of Finite Group Actions and Related Results Actions on the Riemann sphere ($g = 0$)	32 32 34 36		
3	Exa 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	mples of Finite Group Actions and Related Results Actions on the Riemann sphere $(g = 0) \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$ Actions on a complex torus $(g = 1) \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$ Actions on compact surfaces of genus 2	32 32 34 36 39		
3	Exa 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 Apr	mples of Finite Group Actions and Related Results Actions on the Riemann sphere ($g = 0$)	 32 32 34 36 39 42 		
3 4	Exa 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 App 4.1	mples of Finite Group Actions and Related ResultsActions on the Riemann sphere $(g = 0) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ Actions on a complex torus $(g = 1) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ Actions on compact surfaces of genus 2Actions on the Klein quartic $(g = 3) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ DendixCoverings and Riemann Surfaces	 32 32 34 36 39 42 42 		
3 4	Exa 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 App 4.1 4.2	mples of Finite Group Actions and Related ResultsActions on the Riemann sphere $(g = 0) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ Actions on a complex torus $(g = 1) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ Actions on compact surfaces of genus 2	 32 34 36 39 42 42 43 		
3	Exa 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 App 4.1 4.2 4.3	mples of Finite Group Actions and Related Results Actions on the Riemann sphere $(g = 0) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ Actions on a complex torus $(g = 1) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ Actions on compact surfaces of genus 2	32 34 36 39 42 42 43 46		

1 Introduction

1.1 Basic Notions about Riemann surfaces

Definition 1.1.1 (Riemann surfaces). A Riemann surface is a connected 1-dimensional complex manifold.

Remark 1.1.2. A Riemann surface is essentially a connected smooth real manifold of (real) dimension 2 with holomorphic transition maps. In particular, it is orientable.

Definition 1.1.3 (Holomorphic maps). A map $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ between Riemann surfaces is **holomorphic** if there exist two holomorphic charts φ : $V \subset Y \longrightarrow W \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\psi : U \subset X \longrightarrow O \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that the map

$$\varphi \circ F \circ \psi^{-1} : O \subset \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow W \subset \mathbb{C}$$

is holomorphic (in the usual sense of complex analysis).

Proposition 1.1.4. Let $F, G : X \longrightarrow Y$ be two holomorphic maps between Riemann surfaces. If F = G on a subset $S \subset X$ containing an accumulation point, then F = G.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}_{cc} be the set of accumulation points. The sequential characterization of closed sets shows that \mathcal{A}_{cc} is closed.

Moreover, for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_{cc}$, there exists a sequence of distinct points (x_n) in $\{F = G\}$ converging to x. Consider two associated coordinate charts $\varphi : U \subset X \to \varphi(U) \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\Psi : V \subset Y \to \Psi(V) \subset \mathbb{C}$ around x and $\varphi(x)$, respectively.

The holomorphic maps $\Psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and $\Psi \circ G \circ \varphi^{-1}$ coincide on the set $\{\varphi(x_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\varphi(x)\}$, which has $\varphi(x)$ as an accumulation point. By the identity theorem, they therefore coincide on all of $\varphi(U)$.

Thus, $U \subset \mathcal{A}_{cc}$, so \mathcal{A}_{cc} is also open. By the connectedness of X and since, by hypothesis, \mathcal{A}_{cc} is non-empty, we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_{cc} = X$.

Proposition 1.1.5 (Local normal form). Let $F: X \to Y$ be a non-constant holomorphic map between Riemann surfaces, and let $p \in X$. Then, there exists an integer $m \ge 1$ such that for any chart $\phi_2: U_2 \subset Y \to V_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\phi_2(F(p)) = 0$, there exists a chart $\phi_1: U_1 \subset X \to V_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi_1(p) = 0$ and

$$\phi_2 \circ F \circ \phi_1^{-1}(z) = z^m$$
, for all $z \in V_1$.

Proof. Let's fix a chart $\phi_2 : U_2 \to V_2$ such that $\phi_2(F(p)) = 0$ and choose any chart $\psi : U \to V$ such that $\psi(p) = 0$. The function $T = \phi_2 \circ F \circ \psi^{-1}$ can be written as

$$T(w) = \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n w^n.$$

Let $m = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}^* \mid a_n \neq 0\}$. We have

$$T(w) = w^m g(w),$$

where g is holomorphic in a neighborhood of 0 and satisfies $g(0) \neq 0$. There then exists a holomorphic function L in a neighborhood of 0 such that

$$g(z) = e^{L(z)}.$$

Defining $h(z) = e^{L(z)/m}$ and f(w) = wh(w), we obtain

$$T(w) = f(w)^m$$

Since $f'(0) \neq 0$, the function f is a local biholomorphism at 0, so the map

$$\phi_1 = f \circ \psi : U_1 \subset X \to V_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$$

defines a chart of X such that $\phi_1(p) = 0$, where U_1 is a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0 ensuring that

$$f:\psi(U_1)\to f(\psi(U_1))$$

is biholomorphic. Thus, for all $z = f(w) = wh(w) \in V_1$, we have

$$\phi_2 \circ F \circ \phi_1^{-1}(z) = \phi_2 \circ F \circ \psi^{-1} \circ f^{-1}(z) = T \circ f^{-1}(z) = T(w) = (wh(w))^m = z^m.$$

Moreover, in a neighborhood of F(p), each point has exactly m preimages under F in a neighborhood of p (counted with multiplicities, and distinct if the chosen point is different from F(p)).

The integer m is then uniquely determined and independent of the choice of charts.

Definition 1.1.6. The integer m introduced earlier is called the **multiplic**ity of F at the point p, which we denote by $\operatorname{mult}_p(F)$. We also say that $p \in X$ is a branching point of F if $\operatorname{mult}_p(F) \ge 2$, and that $y \in Y$ is a branch value of F if y is the image under F of a branching point.

Remark 1.1.7. Recall that a map $p: E \to B$ between topological spaces is called a **covering map** if p is surjective (first condition) and if, for each point $b \in B$, there exists a neighborhood V_b of b such that there is a family of open sets $(O_i)_{i \in I}$ in E, each homeomorphic to V_b via p, and satisfying

$$p^{-1}(V_b) = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} O_i.$$

The notion of a branching point is generally used to describe a map that is "almost" a covering map, i.e., the map in question is still surjective, but the second condition holds on the entire codomain except at a certain number of points (the branching points).

We will see later that a holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces is a **branched covering** (a notion we will define later).

Definition-Proposition 1.1.8. Let $F : X \to Y$ be a non-constant holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces. For each point $y \in Y$, we define the integer

$$d_y(F) = \sum_{x \in F^{-1}(\{y\})} \operatorname{mult}_x(F),$$

which does not depend on y. We call this integer the **degree** of F, denoted by $\deg(F)$.

Proof. We will show that the function $y \in Y \mapsto d_y(F) \in \mathbb{N}$ is continuous, which will allow us to conclude by the connectedness of Y.

Let $y \in Y$ be fixed. First, since F is non-constant and X is compact, all fibers of F have finite (nonzero) cardinality, since F is surjective. This ensures that the integer $d_y(F)$ is well-defined. Let

$$F^{-1}(\{y\}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}.$$

Let $\psi : U \subset Y \to V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a chart around y such that $\psi(y) = 0$. By Proposition 1.1.5 (Local Normal Form), there exist charts $\varphi_i : O_i \subset X \to V_i \subset \mathbb{C}$ around x_i and integers m_1, \ldots, m_n such that $\varphi_i(x_i) = 0$ and

$$\psi \circ F \circ \varphi_i^{-1}(z) = z^{m_i},$$

where the sets $(O_i)_{1 \le i \le n}$ are pairwise disjoint.

The ideal situation would be to find a neighborhood $V_y \subset Y$ of y such that for any $v \in V_y$, we have

$$F^{-1}(\{v\}) \subset \bigsqcup_{1 \le i \le n} O_i.$$

Even if we need to restrict V_y , we can assume that each $v \in V_y$ has exactly m_i preimages under F in O_i (counted with multiplicities). Then, for all $v \in V_y$,

$$d_v(F) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{mult}_{x_i}(F) = d_y(F).$$

Suppose, for contradiction, that there exists a sequence $(y_k)_k \subset Y$ converging to y such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_k \in (X \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^n O_i)) \cap F^{-1}(\{y_k\})$. By compactness of X, we can extract a subsequence $(x_{\varphi(k)})_k$ converging to some $x \in X$. By continuity of F, we immediately have F(x) = y, so there exists some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $x = x_i$. Thus, for sufficiently large k, x_k would belong to O_i , which is a contradiction. This ensures the existence of V_y , completing the proof.

Definition 1.1.9 (Triangulation). A triangulation of a surface X is given by a triplet of sets (V, E, F) such that:

- $V \subset X$ is a discrete set of points called **vertices**.
- E is a set of arcs in X (called **edges**) whose endpoints are in V.
- F is a set of open regions (called **faces**) each bounded by exactly three edges (i.e., the boundary of each face is the union of three edges), and such that

$$X \setminus \bigcup_{e \in E} e = \bigcup_{f \in F} f.$$

In short, to triangulate a surface means turning it into a puzzle whose pieces are homeomorphic to triangles. Such a surface is then said to be **triangu-lable**.

Theorem 1.1.10. Every compact surface is triangulable.

The somewhat lengthy proof of this theorem can be found in [Thom].

Remark 1.1.11. By defining a triangulable surface, we immediately deduce that any compact surface can be endowed with a finite CW-complex structure.

Definition 1.1.12 (Euler characteristic). The **Euler characteristic** of a compact surface X is the integer

$$\chi(X) = |V| - |E| + |F|,$$

where (V, E, F) is a triangulation of X. This integer does not depend on the choice of triangulation, which shows that $\chi(X)$ is well defined. More generally, for X a finite CW-complex, we define the Euler characteristic by

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^n \operatorname{rank}(H_n^{CW}(X; \mathbb{Z})),$$

where $H_n^{CW}(X;\mathbb{Z})$ denotes the nth cellular homology group of X.

Definition 1.1.13 (Genus of a surface). The **genus** g of a compact orientable surface X is the maximum number of disjoint closed simple curves C_1, \ldots, C_n such that $X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$ is still connected.

This number can be computed using the first homology group:

$$g = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank}(H_1^{CW}(X;\mathbb{Z})).$$

Theorem 1.1.14. Any compact Riemann surface X is diffeomorphic to either a sphere or a torus with g "holes" (i.e., a connected sum of g tori).

The proof of this result is rather long and goes beyond the scope of the present discussion. It is based, in particular, on Morse theory. For a complete proof, see [Rydh].

Remark 1.1.15. Recall that: $H_0^{CW}(X;\mathbb{Z}) = H_2^{CW}(X;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}, \ H_1^{CW}(X;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \ and \ H_n^{CW}(X;\mathbb{Z}) = 0 \ for$ $n \geq 3 \ (see \ Section \ 4.2).$ Thus, the genus g of a Riemann surface represents the number of "holes."

Corollary 1.1.16. Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus g. Then

$$\chi(X) = 2 - 2g.$$

Proof. Using Remark 1.1.15, we obtain:

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^n \operatorname{rank}(H_n^{CW}(X; \mathbb{Z})) = 1 - 2g + 1 = 2 - 2g.$$

Remark 1.1.17. Two compact Riemann surfaces of the same genus are diffeomorphic but not necessarily analytically isomorphic (biholomorphic), as we will see with surfaces of genus 1. However, in the case g = 0, we have the following result:

Theorem 1.1.18 (First Uniformization Theorem). Any simply connected Riemann surface is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{C}_{∞} , or the open unit disk.

Remark 1.1.19. The length and difficulty of the proof of this theorem are inversely proportional to the size of its statement. This problem challenged many of the great mathematicians of the past and was not solved until a hundred years after it was first posed. For a historical account of this result, see [Unif].

Theorem 1.1.20 (Hurwitz formula). Let X, Y be two compact Riemann surfaces, and let $F : X \to Y$ be a non-constant holomorphic map. Then,

$$2g(X) - 2 = \deg(F)(2g(Y) - 2) + \sum_{x \in X} (\operatorname{mult}_x(F) - 1),$$

where $g(\cdot)$ denotes the genus of a compact Riemann surface.

Proof. Let $T_Y = (V_Y, E_Y, F_Y)$ be a triangulation of Y. To refine this triangulation, we may assume that all the branch points of F are contained in V_Y . By compactness of Y, we can also assume the existence of a finite family of open sets $(V_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ in Y such that each triangle is contained in some open set $V_i \subset Y$ satisfying one of the following two properties:

- (1) V_i is trivializing: $F^{-1}(V_i) = \bigsqcup_{j \in J_V} O_j$, where each $O_j \cong V_i$ via F.
- (2) There exists a local coordinate z centered at $p \in F^{-1}(V_i)$ such that $F(z) = z^m$ in the vicinity of p, using Proposition 1.1.5 (Local Normal Form).

In this way, pulling back via F yields a triangulation $T_X = (V_X, E_X, F_X)$ on X such that all branch points are contained in S_X , and the preimage of each triangle of Y under F is a triangle in X.

If the vertices of the triangle are not branch points, we are in the situation described in the diagram below (property (1)):



Example in the case $\operatorname{mult}_x(F) = 1$, for all $x \in F^{-1}(\{y\})$.

If one of the vertices of the triangle is a branch point, we are in the situation described in the diagram below (property (2)):



Example in the case $\operatorname{mult}_x(F) = 3$.

By construction of T_X , we have $|E_X| = \deg(F)|E_Y|$ and $|F_X| = \deg(F)|F_Y|$. However, this equality does not hold for the vertices (it would if F were unbranched, i.e., if F were a covering). As seen in the second diagram, we need to add an error term $-(\text{mult}_x(F)-1)$ for each branch point $x \in X$. We may even add this term for all x, as it is zero when x is not a branch point. Thus, we obtain:

$$2g(X) - 2 = -|V_X| + |E_X| - |F_X| = -\left(\deg(F)|V_Y| - \sum_{x \in X} (\operatorname{mult}_x(F) - 1)\right)$$
$$+ \deg(F)|E_Y| - \deg(F)|F_Y| = \deg(F)(2g(Y) - 2) + \sum_{x \in X} (\operatorname{mult}_x(F) - 1).$$

Corollary 1.1.21. Let $F : X \to Y$ be a non-constant holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces. Let $g(\cdot)$ denote the genus of a surface.

- (1) If $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\deg(F) \ge 2$, then F is branched.
- (2) If g(X) = g(Y) = 1, then F is not branched.
- (3) $g(X) \ge g(Y)$.
- (4) If $g(X) = g(Y) \ge 2$, then F is an isomorphism.

Proof. We will use Hurwitz's formula to address each case.

(1) Since $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, we have g(Y) = 0. If F were unbranched, then for any $x \in X$, we would have $\operatorname{mult}_x(F) - 1 = 0$, and thus

$$2g(X) - 2 = -2\deg(F) \le -4,$$

which implies $g(X) \leq -1$, an absurdity.

(2) If g(X) = g(Y) = 1, then

$$\sum_{x \in X} (\operatorname{mult}_x(F) - 1) = 0,$$

which implies that for all $x \in X$, $\operatorname{mult}_x(F) = 1$. Hence, F is unbranched.

(3) By Hurwitz's formula, we immediately obtain:

$$2g(X) - 2 \ge \deg(F)(2g(Y) - 2) \ge 2g(Y) - 2,$$

so $g(X) \ge g(Y)$.

(4) We first recall why F is surjective, independently of the genus assumption: since F is non-constant, it is an open map. Moreover, by compactness of X and Y, the image F(X) is compact and thus closed in Y. By connectedness of Y, we conclude that F(X) = Y.

Now, from the assumptions on the genus of X and Y, we have:

$$2(g(X) - 1)(1 - \deg(F)) = \sum_{x \in X} (\operatorname{mult}_x(F) - 1).$$

The term on the left is negative, while the term on the right is positive, so necessarily F is unbranched and $\deg(F) = 1$. By the definition of the degree, F is injective, so we conclude that F is a biholomorphism.

Remark 1.1.22. In particular, if F is branched and g(X) = 1, then Y is simply connected and therefore isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 .

1.2 Branched Covering

Definition 1.2.1. Let $F : X \to Y$ be a continuous map between two oriented topological surfaces. We say that F is a **branched covering** if for any $y \in Y$, there exist:

- A neighborhood U of y and a homeomorphism $\Psi: U \to V \subset \mathbb{C}$.
- A function $k: F^{-1}(\{y\}) \to \mathbb{N}^*$.
- A diffeomorphism $\varphi: F^{-1}(U) \to V \times F^{-1}(\{y\})$ such that for all $x \in F^{-1}(\{y\})$,

$$\Psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}(z) = z^{k(x)}, \text{ for all } z \in V.$$

As mentioned earlier, we can think of F as a map that is "almost" a covering. The problematic points are the branch points, i.e., the points $y \in Y$ such that there exists $x \in F^{-1}(\{y\})$ with $k(x) \ge 2$. We observe that F is naturally surjective and that the branch points form a discrete subset of Y.

Let us now prove the following proposition, which is more relevant to our discussion.

Proposition 1.2.2. Any non-constant, holomorphic, proper map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ between two Riemann surfaces is a branched covering. In particular, if X and Y are compact, any non-constant holomorphic map from X to Y is a branched covering.

Proof. The fact that F is proper and non-constant ensures that the fibers of F are non-empty and have finite cardinality. It also allows us to treat the proof in a completely analogous way to Proposition 1.1.8, using the same compactness arguments based on the properness of F.

Remark 1.2.3. We can further show that if X is compact (so is Y) and F is unbranched (i.e., it admits no branch points), then F is a (real one) covering. The argument follows from the fact that, by the definition of the degree of F, all fibers have exactly the same cardinality. In particular, if $\deg(F) = 1$, then F is an isomorphism.

1.3 Punctured Compact Riemann Surface

Definition 1.3.1. A punctured compact Riemann surface is a Riemann surface X for which there exists an open set $O \subset X$ such that:

- (1) There is a biholomorphism between O and the disjoint union of a finite number of punctured disks $\{0 < |z| < 1\}$.
- (2) $X \setminus O$ is compact.

We can associate to any punctured Riemann surface X a Riemann surface \widehat{X} constructed as follows:

Denoting by $(D_i^* = D_i \setminus \{z_i\})_{i \in I}$ the finite family of punctured disks such that $O \cong \bigsqcup_{i \in I} D_i^*$ via a biholomorphism f, we define

$$\widehat{X} = X \bigcup_{f} Y = (X \bigsqcup Y) / \sim$$

with $Y = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} D_i$ and \sim the equivalence relation generated by $a \sim f(a)$. By construction, we see that \widehat{X} is compact and that $\widehat{X} \setminus X$ is finite.

Example 1.3.2. The Riemann sphere \mathbb{P}^1 with finitely many points removed S is a punctured compact Riemann surface. Note that a punctured compact Riemann surface from which a finite number of points is removed remains a punctured compact Riemann surface.

Lemma 1.3.3. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a finite (unbranched) covering of a punctured compact Riemann surface Y. Then X is naturally endowed with a punctured compact Riemann surface structure that makes f holomorphic. Moreover, f extends uniquely to a holomorphic map $\widehat{f}: \widehat{X} \to \widehat{Y}$.

Proof. Let d be the degree of the covering. Consider

$$O = \bigsqcup_{y \in \widehat{Y} \setminus Y} (D_y \setminus \{y\}) \subset Y$$

a biholomorphic open set to a disjoint finite union of punctured disks such that $Y \setminus O$ is compact.

For any $y \in \widehat{Y} \setminus Y$, the restriction $f_{|f^{-1}(D_y \setminus \{y\})}$ is a finite covering of $D_y \setminus \{y\}$. Let $(C_i)_{i \in I_y}$ be the connected components of $f^{-1}(D_y \setminus \{y\})$. Since $f_{|C_i} : C_i \to D_y \setminus \{y\}$ is a finite connected covering of degree d, it corresponds to a subgroup of index d of $\pi_1(D_y \setminus \{y\}) = \mathbb{Z}$ (noting that $D_y \setminus \{y\}$ is homotopy equivalent to \mathbb{S}^1).

This covering is therefore equivalent to the covering

$$z \in \{0 < |z| < 1\} \mapsto z^d \in \{0 < |z| < 1\}$$

and so C_i is biholomorphic to $D_y \setminus \{y\}$ (see the end of Section ?? in the appendix).

The open set $V = f^{-1}(O)$ is therefore biholomorphic to a disjoint finite union of punctured disks. Moreover, since f is a finite covering, it is in particular a proper map, which ensures the compactness of $X \setminus V$ and thus the structure of a punctured compact Riemann surface on X.

Now, let $x_i \in \widehat{X} \setminus X$ be the point added to C_i such that $\widehat{C}_i = C_i \cup \{x_i\}$ is isomorphic to D_y . By setting $\widehat{f}(x_i) = y$, we uniquely extend f to a holomorphic map $\widehat{f}: \widehat{X} \to \widehat{Y}$, which completes the proof.

Remark 1.3.4. This lemma will be particularly useful for compactifying certain algebraic curves and computing their genus using the Riemann-Hurwitz formula.

- **Example 1.3.5.** (1) Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ be a finite set, and let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{C}_{\infty} \setminus S$ be an unbranched finite covering. Then X is a punctured compact Riemann surface.
 - (2) (As motivation for what follows) Consider the algebraic curve

$$C = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid y^2 = x(x - 1) \}.$$

Define $\pi : (x, y) \in C \mapsto x \in \mathbb{C}$. The map π is a finite covering (of degree 2) and therefore induces a holomorphic map

$$\widehat{\pi}:\widehat{C}\to\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$$

The points 0 and 1 are the only branch points of $\hat{\pi}$ (we will later see why ∞ is not). Since $g(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}) = 0$, applying Hurwitz's formula gives $g(\hat{C}) = 0$.

1.4 Algebraic Curves and Compactification

Let $P \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree ≥ 1 . We define

$$C_P = \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid P(x,y) = 0 \text{ and } \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}(x,y), \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}(x,y) \right) \neq (0,0) \right\}.$$

By the implicit function theorem, C_P is a complex submanifold of dimension 1 in \mathbb{C}^2 . Moreover, a non-trivial theorem asserts that C_P is connected, thanks to the irreducibility of P. For a proof of this result, see [Fisc].

Example 1.4.1. (1) If $P(x, y) = y^2 - x(x - 1)$, then

$$C_P = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid y^2 = x(x-1)\}.$$

(2) If $P(x,y) = y^2 - x^2(x-1)$, then

$$C_P = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \mid y^2 = x^2(x - 1)\}.$$

(3) The zero locus of $y^2 - x^2(x-1)$ is not a Riemann surface; there is a double point at (0,0), which must be removed to obtain a Riemann surface as in the previous example. On the other hand, this locus can be parametrized by the map

$$t \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto (t^2(t-1), t^2) \in \{y^2 = x^2(x-1)\}.$$

Assume that $P \notin \mathbb{C}[x]$, i.e., that C_P is not a straight line parallel to the y-axis. Let n be the degree of y in P(x, y), and define the finite set

 $S_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{C} \mid P(x, \cdot) \text{ has degree } < n \text{ or has a multiple root} \}.$

Setting

$$X_P = \{ (x, y) \in C_P \mid x \notin S \}, \quad S = S_0 \cup \{ \infty \},$$

the map $\pi_P : (x, y) \in X_P \mapsto x \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty} \setminus S$ is an unbranched covering of degree n. By Lemma 1.3.3, X_P is a punctured compact Riemann surface, and so is C_P . We then introduce the following definition:

Definition 1.4.2. The compact Riemann surface $\widehat{X_P} = \widehat{C_P}$ is canonically associated with the algebraic curve defined by P. We call $\widehat{C_P}$ the compactification of C_P .

1.4.3 Hyperelliptic Curves

Let h be a polynomial of degree $2g + 1 + \epsilon$ (with $g \ge 0$ and $\epsilon = 0$ or 1), and assume that h has simple roots. The polynomial $P(x, y) = y^2 - h(x)$ is therefore irreducible, which gives

$$C_P = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid y^2 = h(x)\}$$

and

$$S_0 = \{ x \in \mathbb{C} \mid h(x) = 0 \}.$$

Each point x in $\{h(x) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ has a unique preimage in C_P (the point (x, 0)) under π_P , so it is a branch point with a branching index of 2 (as π_P is of degree 2). Let us now analyze the case $x = \infty$.

In Rick Miranda's Algebraic Curves and Riemann Surfaces, the surface \widehat{C}_P can be obtained differently, giving better insight into its behavior at infinity. Define:

- $U = \{(x, y) \in C_P \mid x \neq 0\}.$
- $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ as the smooth curve defined by

$$w^2 = z^{2g+2}h(1/z),$$

noting that $z^{2g+2}h(1/z)$ is a polynomial.

-
$$V = \{(z, w) \in Y \mid z \neq 0\}.$$

Assuming the isomorphism

$$\phi: (x,y) \in U \longmapsto (z,w) = (1/x, y/x^{g+1}) \in V,$$

we obtain the same compact variety by gluing X and Y along U and V thanks to ϕ :

$$Z = (X \bigsqcup Y) / _{(x,y) \sim \phi(x,y)}.$$

From this perspective, the point ∞ is a branch point of $\widehat{\pi_P}$ if 0 is a branch point of the map

$$(z,w) \in \{w^2 = z^{2g+2}h(1/z)\} \mapsto z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Noting $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ as the roots of h, this amounts to studying the equation

$$w^2 = z^{1-\epsilon} \prod_{i \in I} (1 - a_i z).$$

Thus, ∞ is a branch point of $\widehat{\pi_P}$ if and only if $\epsilon = 0$ (i.e., if the degree of h is odd). The map $\widehat{\pi_P}$ then has 2g + 2 branch points, each with a branching index of 2.

By Hurwitz's formula, we have

Thus, $g(\widehat{C_P}) = g$.

Definition 1.4.3 (Hyperelliptic Surfaces). A compact Riemann surface X is called hyperelliptic if there exists a holomorphic map $X \to \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of degree 2.

2 Group actions on Riemann surfaces

Definition 2.0.1. Let G be a group acting on a Riemann surface X. We say that G acts:

- **holomorphically** if for any $g \in G$, the map $x \mapsto g.x$ is holomorphic from X into itself.
- effectively (or faithfully) if for any $g \in G$, the condition $(x \mapsto g.x) = \text{id implies that } g \text{ is trivial.}$
- **properly discontinuously** if for any compact set $K \subset X$, the set $\{g \in G : gK \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite.
- **freely** if for any $(g, x) \in G \times X$, the equality g.x = x implies that g is trivial.

Remark 2.0.2. Another equivalent definition for an action to be properly discontinuous: for any pair of compact sets $K, K' \subset X$, the set $\{g \in G : gK \cap K' \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite.

2.1 Cyclicity and finiteness of stabilizer subgroups

Proposition 2.1.1. Let G be a group acting holomorphically and faithfully on a Riemann surface X. Let $p \in X$ be fixed. If the stabilizer subgroup G_p is finite, then it is necessarily cyclic.

Proof. Let us choose a map $\varphi : U \longrightarrow V \subset \mathbb{C}$, where $p \in U$, such that $\varphi(p) = 0$. For $g \in G_p$, denote the induced map :

$$\Gamma: G_p \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(V)$$

such that

$$\Gamma(g): z \in V \longmapsto \varphi \circ g \circ \varphi^{-1}(z) = \sum_{n \ge 1}^{\infty} a_n(g) z^n \in \mathbb{C}$$

Note that the above power series has no constant term, since $\varphi(p) = 0$. Since $\Gamma(g)$ is holomorphic and injective on V, its derivative $\Gamma'(g)$ is nonzero everywhere, so $a_1(g) = \Gamma'(g)(0) \neq 0$. The map Γ therefore induces a map

$$a_1: G_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$$

We will now show that a_1 is an injective group morphism, which completes the proof since $G_p \cong a_1(G_p) = \mathbb{U}_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. For all $g, h \in G_p$,

$$\Gamma(g) \circ \Gamma(h) = \Gamma(gh),$$

which implies

$$\Gamma(g) \circ \Gamma(h)(z) = \sum_{n \ge 1}^{\infty} a_n(g) \left(\sum_{k \ge 1}^{\infty} a_k(h) z^k\right)^n \equiv a_1(g) a_1(h) z \mod z^2.$$

Hence a_1 is a group morphism. Now let $g \in \ker(a_1)$, and we want to show that g is necessarily trivial. To do this, we show that for any $z \in V$, we have $\Gamma(g)(z) = z$, implying g.x = x for any $x \in U$. Since X is connected, this equality extends to all of X. As G acts effectively, g must be trivial. Suppose for contradiction that there exists $z \in V$ such that $\Gamma(g)(z) \neq z$. Let m be the smallest integer $m \geq 2$ such that $a_m(g) \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\Gamma(g)(z) = z + a_m(g)z^m \mod z^{m+1}.$$

Now, since G_p is finite, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $g^k = e$, so

$$\Gamma(g^k)(z) \equiv z + ka_m(g)z^m \equiv z \mod z^{m+1}.$$

This implies $a_m(g) = 0$, a contradiction.

Proposition 2.1.2. Let G be a group acting holomorphically, properly discontinuously, and faithfully on a Riemann surface X. Then the points x of X whose stabilizer G_x is non-trivial are discrete in X.

Proof. Let $U \subset X$ be a relatively compact open set. Let us denote the set (finite by hypothesis on U):

$$G_U = \{g \in G : gU \cap U \neq \emptyset\}.$$

For $g \in G_U \setminus {\text{id}}$, denote by $(x_g^i)_i = {x \in U : g.x = x}$ the fixed points of g in U, which is a finite set (denote n_g its cardinality). Indeed, if there were a sequence of distinct points $(x_n)_n$ in $(x_g^i)_i$, then, by the hypothesis on U,

we can assume (up to extracting a subsequence) that $(x_n)_n$ converges to a point $x \in \overline{U}$. Since the holomorphic map $x \mapsto g.x$ coincides with the identity on the set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}$, which has x as an accumulation point, we conclude, by the connectedness of X, that these two maps coincide on all of X. Since the action of G on X is faithful, g must be the trivial element a contradiction.

By separating X, there exists a family $\{U_g^i : g \in G_U \setminus \{\text{id}\}, 1 \leq i \leq n_g\}$ of pairwise disjoint open sets, each containing exactly one x_g^i . By construction, x_g^i is the only point in U_g^i whose stabilizer subgroup is non-trivial. \Box

Corollary 2.1.3. Let G be a group acting holomorphically and properly discontinuously on a Riemann surface X. Then all stabilizer subgroups are finite and therefore cyclic.

Proof. Since the action is properly discontinuous, taking $K = \{x\}$ for any $x \in X$, we deduce that G_x is finite. By Proposition 2.1.1, this subgroup is cyclic.

2.2 Riemann quotient surface structure on X/G

Proposition 2.2.1. Let G be a group acting holomorphically, properly discontinuously, and faithfully on a Riemann surface X. Let $p \in X$. Then there exists an open neighborhood U of p such that:

- (a) U is invariant under the action of G_p ;
- (b) $U \cap g.U = \emptyset$, for all $g \notin G_p$;
- (c) The canonical map $\pi: X \longrightarrow X/G$ induces a homeomorphism

$$\overline{\pi_{|U}}: U/G_p \longrightarrow O \subset X/G,$$

where O is open in X/G;

(d) No point of $U \setminus \{p\}$ is fixed by a non-trivial element of G_p .

Proof. By Proposition 2.1.2, let us take a relatively compact open neighborhood W of p such that for all $x \in W \setminus \{p\}$, we have $G_x = \{id\}$. Let us denote:

$$(G \setminus G_p) \cap G_W = \{g_1, \ldots, g_k\},\$$

where $G_W = \{g \in G : gW \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$. By the separation property of X, and since for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ we have $p \neq g_i.p$, there exists a pair of open sets (U_i, V_i) such that $p \in U_i, g_i.p \in V_i$, and $U_i \cap V_i = \emptyset$. Moreover, note that $p \in g_i^{-1}(V_i)$.

Define:

$$V = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \left(g_i^{-1}(V_i) \cap U_i \right)$$

which contains p. Then $g_i V \cap V = \emptyset$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Now, setting:

$$U = \bigcap_{g \in G_p} g(V \cap W),$$

we have gU = U for all $g \in G_p$, i.e., U is invariant under the action of G_p . Properties (b) and (d) follow directly.

To prove (c), note that the restriction $\pi_{|U} : U \to X/G$ is open and continuous. This map factors as a homeomorphism:

$$\overline{\pi_{|U}}: U/G_p \longrightarrow \pi(U) \subset X/G,$$

such that $\pi_{|U} = \overline{\pi_{|U}} \circ q$, where $q: U \to U/G_p$ is the natural projection onto the quotient.

Theorem 2.2.2. Let G be a group acting holomorphically, properly discontinuously, and faithfully on a Riemann surface X. Then there exists a Riemann surface structure on X/G induced by the one on X. Moreover, the canonical application $\pi : X \longrightarrow X/G$ is holomorphic and satisfies the following properties:

- If G is finite, the degree of π is |G|.
- For all $p \in X$, $\operatorname{mult}_p(\pi) = |G_p|$, where G_p is the stabilizer of p.

Proof. (1) Construction of compatible charts on X/G. Let $p \in X$. By Proposition 2.2.1, we can choose a neighborhood $U \subset X$

of p and a homeomorphism $\overline{\pi_{|U}}: U/G_p \to \pi(U) \subset X/G$ with the desired properties. Without loss of generality, assume that U is biholomorphic to an open set $V \subset \mathbb{C}$ via a holomorphic map $\varphi : U \to V$. Using the family of holomorphic maps $\Gamma(g) = \varphi \circ g \circ \varphi^{-1}$ for $g \in G_p$, defined in Proposition 2.1.1, define:

$$h: z \in V \longmapsto \prod_{g \in G_p} \Gamma(g)(z), \quad h: V \to \mathbb{C}.$$

Clearly, h is holomorphic, and p is a zero of multiplicity $|G_p|$ for the map $H = h \circ \varphi$. By restricting U further, we may assume that every point $w \in H(U)$ admits precisely $m = |G_p|$ preimages under H, which are distinct when $w \neq 0$. Specifically, for any $w = H(x) \in H(U)$, we have:

$$H^{-1}(\{w\}) = G_p \cdot x = \{g \cdot x : g \in G_p\},\$$

since H is invariant under the action of G_p :

$$H(g'.z) = \prod_{g \in G_p} \Gamma(gg'.z) = \prod_{g \in G_p} \Gamma(g.z) = H(z).$$

By construction, $|G_{p}.x| = m$ for all $x \in U \setminus \{p\}$, so H factors into a homeomorphism:

$$\overline{H}: U/G_p \to V$$

such that $H = \overline{H} \circ q$, where $q: U \to U/G_p$ is the canonical quotient map. Since H is holomorphic, \overline{H} is automatically holomorphic as well. The map $\overline{H} \circ \overline{\pi_{|U}}^{-1}: \pi(U) \to V$ thus defines a chart on X/G.

The open sets $\pi(U)$ cover X/G, and the compatibility of charts on X induces, by construction, the compatibility of charts on X/G.

(2) Riemann surface structure on X/G.

To show that X/G is a Riemann surface, we verify:

- Hausdorff space: If $G.x \neq G.y$ in X/G, then $x \notin G.y$. Consider relatively compact neighborhoods $O^{(x)}$ and $O^{(y)}$ of x and y, biholomorphic to small disks. For sufficiently small neighborhoods, the images $\pi(O^{(x)})$ and $\pi(O^{(y)})$ are disjoint, as otherwise we would have g.x = y for some $g \in G$, contradicting $x \notin G.y$.
- Connectedness: X/G is connected because X is connected and π : $X \to X/G$ is continuous and surjective.

• Second countable: Since X has a countable basis $(O_n)_n$ of open sets, the images $\pi(O_n)$ form a countable basis for X/G.

(3) Properties of the map $\pi: X \to X/G$.

The map π is holomorphic because, locally, it is given by holomorphic maps as constructed above. By the properties of h, we have that $\operatorname{mult}_p(\pi) = |G_p|$. Moreover, when G is finite, π is of degree:

$$\deg(\pi) = \sum_{\overline{g} \in G/G_p} \operatorname{mult}_{g,p}(\pi) = |G/G_p| \cdot |G_p| = |G|.$$

Lemma 2.2.3. Let G be a finite group acting holomorphically and faithfully on a compact Riemann surface X. Let $\pi : X \to Y = X/G$ denote the quotient map. Then, for any branch point $y \in Y$, there exists an integer $r \geq 2$ such that:

- $|\pi^{-1}(\{y\})| = |G|/r.$
- For any $x \in \pi^{-1}(\{y\})$, we have $\operatorname{mult}_x(\pi) = r$.

Proof. Let $p \in X$, and take $U \subset X$ a neighborhood of p as provided by Proposition 2.2.1. Then:

$$\pi^{-1}(\{\pi(p)\}) = \{g.p : \overline{g} \in G/G_p\},\$$

and $\operatorname{mult}_{g.p}(\pi) = |G_p|.$ Set $r = |G_p|.$

Corollary 2.2.4 (Genus Formula). Let G be a finite group acting holomorphically and faithfully on a compact Riemann surface X. Let $\pi: X \to Y = X/G$ denote the quotient map. Suppose there are k branch points y_1, \ldots, y_k in Y, of respective multiplicities r_i , each with $|G|/r_i$ preimages. Then:

$$2g(X) - 2 = |G|(2g(X/G) - 2) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{|G|}{r_i}(r_i - 1).$$

Alternatively:

$$2g(X) - 2 = |G| \left(2g(X/G) - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_i} \right) \right).$$

Proof. By application of Hurwitz's formula to $\pi: X \to X/G$, we have:

$$2g(X) - 2 = \deg(\pi)(2g(X/G) - 2) + \sum_{p \in S} (\operatorname{mult}_p(\pi) - 1),$$

where $S = \{x \in X : \text{mult}_x(\pi) \ge 2\}$ is the set of critical points. Summing over all contributions from the branch points, we find:

$$\sum_{p \in S} (\operatorname{mult}_p(\pi) - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{|G|}{r_i} (r_i - 1).$$

Substituting this into Hurwitz's formula proves the desired result.

Lemma 2.2.5. Under the same assumptions as in Corollary 2.2.4, let $R = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_i}\right)$. Then:

$$(a) \ R < 2 \iff \begin{cases} k = 1, \ r_1 \ any, \\ k = 2, \ r_1, r_2 \ any, \ or \\ k = 3, \ \{r_i\} = \{2, 2, r_3\}, r_3 \ any, \ or \\ k = 3, \ \{r_i\} = \{2, 3, 3\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \ or \ \{2, 3, 5\} \end{cases}$$

(b)
$$R = 2 \iff \begin{cases} k = 3, \{r_i\} = \{2, 3, 6\}, \{2, 4, 4\}, \text{ or } \{3, 3, 3\}, \text{ or } \\ k = 4, \{r_i\} = \{2, 2, 2, 2\}. \end{cases}$$

(c) If R>2 , then $R\geq 2+\frac{1}{42}$ with equality if and only if $k=3,\{r_i\}=\{2,3,7\}$.

Proof. We verify the direct and reciprocal implications for each equivalence.

- (a) Assume R < 2. Necessarily, k < 4 because $r_i \ge 2$ implies $R \ge \frac{k}{2}$, so $k \ge 4 \implies R \ge 2$ (contradiction). The cases k = 1, 2 are trivial. If k = 3, note that: $-r_1 \ge 3 \implies R \ge 3 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{r_3} \ge 2$, so $r_1 = 2$. In this case, explicit enumeration of pairs (r_2, r_3) yields the stated possibilities.
- (b) Assume R = 2. Since $r_i \ge 2$, necessarily k = 3 or k = 4. If k = 4, all $r_i = 2$ to avoid R > 2. If k = 3, solving $\sum 1/r_i = 1$ gives the listed solutions.

(c) Assume R > 2. From part (b) of Lemma 2.2.5, $k \ge 3$. If k = 4, $r_4 \ge 3$ implies $R \ge 4 - \frac{3}{2} \ge 2 + \frac{1}{42}$. If k = 3, the tightest bound $R = 2 + \frac{1}{42}$ occurs if $r_1 = 2, r_2 = 3, r_3 = 7$.

2.3 Hurwitz theorem

Theorem 2.3.1 (Hurwitz's theorem). Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g(X) \ge 2$. Then G = Aut(X) is a finite group of order at most 84(g(X) - 1).

Demonstration. For the moment, we'll assume that G is finite. We'll demonstrate this later. Let $\pi : X \longrightarrow X/G$ be the quotient application. Let R be the quantity $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (1 - \frac{1}{r_i})$. By the genus formula we have

$$2(g(X) - 1) = |G|(2g(X/G) - 2 + R)$$

- If $g(X/G) \ge 1$ and R = 0, then g(X) 1 = |G|(g(X/G) 1). This implies $g(X/G) \ge 2$ (because $g(X) \ge 2$) so $g(X) 1 \ge |G|$..
- $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{ If } g(X/G) \geq 1 \mbox{ and } R \neq 0, \mbox{ then } R = \sum_{i=1}^k (1 \frac{1}{r_i}) \geq 1/2 \mbox{ so } 1^{2(g(X)-1)} \\ = 2(g(X/G) 1) + R \geq 2(g(X/G) 1) + 1/2 \mbox{ so } \frac{g(X) 1}{|G|} \geq g(X/G) 1 + \frac{1}{4} \geq \frac{1}{4} \\ \mbox{ and so } |G| \leq 4(g(X) 1). \end{array}$
- If g(X/G) = 0 then 0 < 2(g(X) 1) = |G|(R 2). This implies R > 2 so by the previous lemma $R 2 \ge \frac{1}{42}$ and so $|G| \le 84(g(X) 1)$.

Remark 2.3.2. 1) We will also show later that the bound 84(g(X) - 1)is optimal, in the sense that it can be reached (in the case g(X) = 3, for example). In the 1960s, A. M. Macbeath showed that this bound is reached infinitely many times, but also that it is not reached in infinitely many cases (see [Macb]). Moreover, a finite group G acting holomorphically and faithfully on a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \ge 2$ is called a Hurwitz **group** if it reaches the Hurwitz bound. It can be shown (we omit the details here) that G is a Hurwitz group if and only if it is generated by two elements x and y satisfying the relations:

$$x^2 = y^3 = (xy)^7 = 1.$$

2) In the case where X is a hyperelliptic Riemann surface, it can be shown that the involution $\sigma(x, y) = (x, -y)$ is central in Aut(X). (Recall that the application $\widehat{\pi_P} : X \to \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ is of degree 2 and induces an isomorphism $X/\langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, see [Fark] for a detailed presentation on this topic.) Each element of Aut(X)/ $\langle \sigma \rangle$ can thus be seen as an element of Aut(\mathbb{C}_{∞}), which leaves the branch points stable. Since Aut(\mathbb{C}_{∞}) acts strictly 3 -transitively on \mathbb{C}_{∞} (i.e., for any pair of triplets (x_1, x_2, x_3) and (y_1, y_2, y_3) of distinct elements of \mathbb{C}_{∞} , there exists a unique $f \in Aut(\mathbb{C}_{\infty})$ such that $f(x_i) = y_i$ for all i), this group is necessarily finite. Moreover, since Aut(X) is an extension of Aut(X)/ $\langle \sigma \rangle$ by $\langle \sigma \rangle$, Aut(X) is necessarily finite.

2.4 Finiteness of Aut(X) via Fuchsian groups

In this section, we briefly introduce Fuchsian groups to demonstrate, along with other results, that $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is finite under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3.1. We'll also take this opportunity to prove the "second" uniformization theorem. Denote the upper half-plane by $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, \Im(z) > 0\}$.

Definition 2.4.1. A **Fuchsian group** is a discrete subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Theorem 2.4.2. Let Γ be a subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ acting on \mathbb{H} . The action is properly discontinuous if and only if Γ is discrete (i.e., Fuchsian).

Proof. (1) \implies (2). Reason by contrapositive: assume that Γ is not discrete. Then there exists a sequence $(T_n)_n$ of distinct elements of Γ converging to the identity map in PSL $(2, \mathbb{R})$. Let $p \in \mathbb{H}$ be arbitrary. Take a relatively compact neighborhood O of p. There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \ge n_0$, $T_n(O) \cap O \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, the action is not properly discontinuous.

(2) \implies (1). Assume that Γ is discrete. Let K and F be two compact subsets of \mathbb{H} . Define the (continuous) map:

$$\varphi : \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \times F \xrightarrow{p \times \mathrm{id}} \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \times F \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} \mathbb{H},$$

where p is the canonical projection, and ev is the evaluation map given by $(A, z) \mapsto A.z$. We show that $E = \{(T, z) \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times F : T.z \in K\}$ is compact. To do this, we verify that $\widetilde{E} = (p \times \text{id})^{-1}(E) \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times F$ is compact. Compactness of \widetilde{E} implies that $\{T \in \Gamma : T(F) \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite, since Γ is discrete.

By compactness of K and F, and continuity of φ , there exist constants $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that $|\varphi(\widetilde{E})| \leq C_1$ and $\Im(\varphi(\widetilde{E})) \geq C_2$. In other words, for $\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, z \right) \in \widetilde{E}$, we have $|\frac{az+b}{cz+d}| \leq C_1$ and $\frac{\Im(z)}{|cz+d|^2} \geq C_2$. The second condition implies that c and d are bounded. Substituting into the first condition shows that a and b are also bounded. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.4.3. We can immediately deduce that if $K \leq PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is a Fuchsian group, then \mathbb{H}/K is a Riemann surface, with the canonical projection $\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}/K$ holomorphic. Moreover, we recall that $Aut(\mathbb{H}) \cong PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ via the group action:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} . z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}.$$

Theorem 2.4.4 (Uniformization of related surfaces, version 2). Any connected Riemann surface X is biholomorphic to one of the following surfaces: \mathbb{C} , $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, a complex torus \mathbb{C}/Λ , the Riemann sphere \mathbb{C}_{∞} , or \mathbb{H}/K , where K is a Fuchsian group acting freely on \mathbb{H} .

Proof. Let X be a Riemann surface. Let $p: \widetilde{X} \to X$ be a universal covering map. The theory of covering spaces ensures that the group of automorphisms of p (denoted A(p)) acts properly discontinuously and freely on \widetilde{X} , and induces a homeomorphism $\overline{p}: \widetilde{X}/A(p) \to X$. (See [Live] for details if necessary.)

By definition of p and X, Lemma 4.1.2 and Theorem 2.2.2 ensure that \overline{p} is, in fact, a biholomorphism of Riemann surfaces.

Moreover, the First Uniformization Theorem (1.1.18) ensures that \widetilde{X} is biholomorphic to one of $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{H}$, or \mathbb{C}_{∞} .

- Case $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$: The map $\pi : \mathbb{C}_{\infty} \to \mathbb{C}_{\infty}/A(p)$ is holomorphic and non-constant between compact Riemann surfaces. By Corollary 1.1.21, $g(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}/A(p)) = 0$, and hence $X \cong \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.
- Case $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}$: The group A(p) is a subgroup of the group of affine transformations of \mathbb{C} , which consists of translations. Since the action has no fixed points, the elements of A(p) are of the form $z \mapsto z+w$ ($w \in \mathbb{C}$). Because the action is also discontinuous, A(p) can be identified with a discrete additive subgroup of \mathbb{C} (a lattice).

- 1. If the rank of A(p) is zero, then $X \cong \mathbb{C}$.
- 2. If A(p) is monogenic $(A(p) = w\mathbb{Z})$, then $X \cong \mathbb{C}/A(p)$, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* via $z \in \mathbb{C}/w\mathbb{Z} \mapsto e^{2i\pi z/w} \in \mathbb{C}^*$.
- 3. If A(p) has rank 2, then X is isomorphic to a complex torus.
- Case $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{H}$: By Theorem 2.4.2, A(p) is a Fuchsian group that acts freely on \mathbb{H} . Here, $X \cong \mathbb{H}/A(p)$.

Remark 2.4.5. Consider a compact Riemann surface X of genus $g \ge 2$. By Theorem 1.1.14, X is homeomorphic to the connected sum of g tori. Using van Kampen's theorem (see Section 4.2), we can show that:

$$\pi_1(X) \cong \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] = 1 \rangle.$$

Moreover, the theory of covering spaces ensures that $A(p) \cong \pi_1(X)$, because \widetilde{X} is simply connected. Therefore, A(p) is non-abelian.

Lemma 2.4.6. Let K be a Fuchsian group. Let $\pi : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}/K$ the canonical projection. Suppose there exist \tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2 , two automorphisms of \mathbb{H} , such that $\pi \circ \tilde{f}_1 = \pi \circ \tilde{f}_2$. Then $\tilde{f}_1 \circ \tilde{f}_2^{-1} \in K$.

Proof. If $\pi \circ \tilde{f}_1 = \pi \circ \tilde{f}_2$, then for any $z \in \mathbb{H}$, there exists $k_z \in K$ such that $\tilde{f}_1 \cdot z = k_z \cdot \tilde{f}_2 \cdot z$. This induces the app

$$z \in \mathbb{H} \mapsto k_z \in K.$$

Since K is countable, at least one fiber of this map is uncountable. In other words, there exists $k \in K$ such that the set $\{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid \tilde{f}_1 \cdot z = k \cdot \tilde{f}_2 \cdot z\}$ is uncountable and therefore has an accumulation point. By connectedness of \mathbb{H} , we have $\tilde{f}_1 = k \circ \tilde{f}_2$ and deduce the result. \Box

Theorem 2.4.7. Let X be a Riemann surface isomorphic to \mathbb{H}/K , where K is a Fuchsian group ($K \leq PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$). Then:

$$\operatorname{Aut}(X) \cong N(K)/K,$$

where $N(K) = \{g \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \mid gKg^{-1} = K\}$ is the normalizer of K in $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Assume $X = \mathbb{H}/K$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}/K$ be the canonical projection. Let $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Since $f \circ \pi : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}/K$ is a covering map, there exists a biholomorphism $\tilde{f} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ such that $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ \tilde{f}$. For all $k \in K$, for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$, we have:

$$\pi \circ \tilde{f} \circ k \circ \tilde{f}^{-1}(z) = f \circ \pi \circ k \circ \tilde{f}^{-1}(z) = f \circ \pi \circ \tilde{f}^{-1}(z) = \pi(z).$$

By Lemma 2.4.6, $\tilde{f} \in N(K)$. This induces a group morphism:

$$\varphi: f \in \operatorname{Aut}(X) \mapsto [f] \in N(K)/K.$$

If $[\tilde{f}] = K$, then $\tilde{f} \in K$, and thus f = id. Hence, φ is injective. For surjectivity, let $\tilde{g} \in N(K)$ be arbitrary. By the universal property of quotients, there exists a bijective $g : \mathbb{H}/K \to \mathbb{H}/K$ such that $g \circ \pi = \pi \circ \tilde{g}$. Since π is a local biholomorphism, $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H}/K)$.

Lemma 2.4.8. Let K be a non-abelian Fuchsian group. Then N(K), the normalizer of K, is also a Fuchsian group.

A group-theoretic result (see [Cejk]) states that two elements of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{id\}$ commute if and only if they have exactly the same fixed points (on \mathbb{H}). We use this result to prove the above lemma.

Proof of Lemma 2.4.8. We proceed by contradiction. Suppose there exists a sequence $(g_n)_n \subset N(K)$ converging to id. For any $h \in K$, the sequence $(g_n h g_n^{-1})_n$ lies in K (since $g_n \in N(K)$) and converges to h. But since K is discrete, this sequence must be eventually constant. Hence, for sufficiently large n, g_n and h commute, and therefore g_n fixes the same points on \mathbb{H} as h. As h is arbitrary, this would imply that all elements of K have the same fixed points and thus commute, contradicting the hypothesis that K is non-abelian. This completes the proof.

We are now close to completing the proof of Hurwitz's theorem. Before proceeding, we introduce the concept of a fundamental domain.

Definition 2.4.9 (Fundamental domain). Let Γ be a subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. A **fundamental domain** for the action of Γ on \mathbb{H} is a closed subset $F \subset \mathbb{H}$ satisfying:

-
$$\mathring{F} = F$$

-
$$\mathbb{H} = \bigcup_{T \in \Gamma} T(F)$$
,
- For all $T \in \Gamma \setminus {\text{id}}$, $\mathring{F} \cap T(\mathring{F}) = \emptyset$.

The hyperbolic area of a subset $A \subset \mathbb{H}$ is defined as:

$$\mu(A) = \int_A \frac{dx \, dy}{y^2}.$$

If $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{H}$ are two fundamental domains for a subgroup $\Gamma \subset PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$, we always have:

$$\mu(F_1) = \sum_{T \in \Gamma} \mu(F_1 \cap T(F_2)) = \sum_{T \in \Gamma} \mu(T^{-1}(F_1) \cap F_2) = \mu(F_2).$$

Moreover, if Γ is a Fuchsian group, we can show that:

$$D_p(\Gamma) = \{ z \in \mathbb{H} : d(z, p) \le d(T(z), p), \text{ for all } T \in \Gamma \}$$

is a fundamental domain for the action of Γ on \mathbb{H} , where $p \in \mathbb{H}$ and d is the hyperbolic distance on \mathbb{H} (defined via the hyperbolic metric $ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2}$). See [Kato] for a proof of this result. The sets $D_p(\Gamma)$ are called **Dirichlet regions**.

For any Fuchsian group Γ , we define $\mu(F)$, the hyperbolic area of any fundamental domain F, and denote this directly as $\mu(\Gamma)$.

In [Kato], it is also shown that \mathbb{H}/Γ is compact if and only if every Dirichlet region $D_p(\Gamma)$ is compact.

Finally, if Λ is a subgroup of Γ , then:

$$\mu(\Lambda) = [\Gamma : \Lambda] \cdot \mu(\Gamma), \tag{1}$$

where $[\Gamma : \Lambda]$ denotes the index of Λ in Γ . (See the appendix for a proof of this result.)

Proof of Hurwitz's theorem (2.3.1)—continued. Let us now show that $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is finite. By the Uniformization Theorem (2.4.4), we have $X \cong \mathbb{H}/K$, where K is a Fuchsian group that acts freely on \mathbb{H} . Consider:

- K is non-abelian by Remark 2.4.5.
- N(K) is a Fuchsian group by Lemma 2.4.8.
- By Theorem 2.4.7, $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \cong N(K)/K$.

Since X is compact, $\mu(K) < \infty$, and hence $\mu(N(K)) < \infty$ by Equation 1. Thus:

$$|\mathrm{Aut}(X)| = |N(K):K| = \frac{\mu(N(K))}{\mu(K)} < \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 2.4.10. Note that $\mu(N(K)) > 0$. To see this, let F be a fundamental domain for N(K). If $\mu(F) = 0$, then F would have empty interior, and hence F would be empty (since $\overline{\mathring{F}} = F$). Hence, the equality $\mathbb{H} = \bigcup_{T \in N(K)} T(F)$ would not hold, which is a contradiction.

3 Examples of Finite Group Actions and Related Results

In this section, we will consider several examples of actions of finite groups on compact surfaces of different genus g (0 to 3). For the cases g = 0 and g = 1, we will analyze different possible situations identified in Lemma 2.2.5 (cases (a) and (b) of the lemma). We will also calculate some explicit branch points by way of example. The case g = 2 will briefly discuss the possible groups acting on hyperelliptic surfaces, without explicit calculations. Finally, as announced in the introduction, the case g = 3 will cover Klein quartics in detail.

3.1 Actions on the Riemann sphere (g = 0)

Let us begin by analyzing finite group actions on the Riemann sphere \mathbb{C}_{∞} . If G is a finite group acting holomorphically and effectively on \mathbb{C}_{∞} , then since \mathbb{C}_{∞} has genus 0, so does \mathbb{C}_{∞}/G (by Corollary 1.1.21). Using the genus formula (Corollary ??), we find:

$$-2 = |G|(R-2),$$

where $R = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_i}\right)$, with r_1, \ldots, r_k being the branching indices of the branch points $y_1, \ldots, y_k \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}/G$.

Since R<2 , we fall into case (a) of Lemma 2.2.5. Thus, we necessarily have $k\leq 3$.

Recall that the group of automorphisms of \mathbb{C}_{∞} is:

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty} \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \mid ad-bc \neq 0\}.$$

This induces a surjective morphism:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \left(z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \right) \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}_\infty).$$

Noting that the kernel of this morphism is the subgroup of homotheties, we obtain the isomorphism:

$$\operatorname{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}_\infty).$$

Thus, $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts (via homography) holomorphically and effectively on \mathbb{C}_{∞} , with:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} . z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}.$$

Finite subgroups of $PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$

Dickson's theorem states that any finite subgroup of $PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, D_{2r}, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{S}_4, \text{ or } \mathcal{A}_5.$$

We will focus on the last four.

1. Action of D_{2r} on \mathbb{C}_{∞} The dihedral group D_{2r} has the presentation:

$$D_{2r} = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^r = \beta^2 = 1, \beta \alpha \beta^{-1} = \alpha^{-1} \rangle.$$

It is straightforward to verify that:

$$D_{2r} \cong \langle z \mapsto e^{2\pi i/r} z, \, z \mapsto 1/z \rangle,$$

where $e^{2\pi i/r}z$ and 1/z are two elements of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}_{\infty})$.

To find the branch points of the quotient map, we look for points $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ whose stabilizing subgroup G_z is non-trivial. We analyze the fixed points of α , β , and $\alpha\beta$:

- $\alpha.z = z \iff e^{2\pi i/r} z = z \iff z \in \{0, \infty\}$. Since ∞ lies on the same orbit as 0, we have $G_0 = \langle \alpha \rangle$, which has order r. Thus, $D_{2r}.0$ is a branch point of order r.
- $\beta z = z \iff 1/z = z \iff z \in \{-1, 1\}$. If r is even, -1 and 1 are in the same orbit. Here, $G_1 = \langle \beta \rangle$, which has order 2. Thus, $D_{2r} \cdot 1$ is a branch point of order 2.
- $(\alpha\beta).z = z \iff e^{2\pi i/r} z^2 = z \iff z \in \{e^{\pi i/r}, -e^{\pi i/r}\}$. If r is even, these two points are also in the same orbit. The stabilizer is $G_{e^{\pi i/r}} = \langle \alpha\beta \rangle$, which has order 2. Thus, $D_{2r}.e^{\pi i/r}$ is a branch point of order 2.

We have shown that the branch points of the quotient map are $D_{2r}.0$, $D_{2r}.1$ and $D_{2r}.e^{\pi i/r}$ with respective indices r, 2, 2. By Lemma 2.2.5, there are no other branch points.

2. Actions of \mathcal{A}_4 , \mathcal{S}_4 , \mathcal{A}_5 on \mathbb{C}_{∞}

Let us now analyze the cases of $\mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{S}_4, \mathcal{A}_5$. These groups have the following presentations:

$$\mathcal{A}_4 = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^3 = \beta^2 = (\alpha\beta)^3 = 1 \rangle,$$
$$\mathcal{S}_4 = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^4 = \beta^2 = (\alpha\beta)^3 = 1 \rangle,$$
$$\mathcal{A}_5 = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^5 = \beta^2 = (\alpha\beta)^3 = 1 \rangle.$$

We choose generators satisfying these relations, e.g.,

$$\mathcal{A}_4 \cong \langle e^{2\pi i/3} z, \frac{\sqrt{2} - z}{\sqrt{2}z + 1} \rangle,$$
$$\mathcal{S}_4 \cong \langle iz, \frac{z + 1}{z - 1} \rangle,$$
$$\mathcal{A}_5 \cong \langle e^{2\pi i/5} z, \frac{z + \lambda}{\lambda z - 1} \rangle, \ \lambda = \sqrt{1 - 2\cos(2\pi/5)}.$$

The stabilizer for z = 0 has order 3, 4, or 5, respectively. Using Lemma 2.2.5, the branch points of each action can be determined explicitly.

3.2 Actions on a complex torus (g = 1)

Let \mathbb{C}/Λ be a complex torus with $\Lambda = \omega_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \omega_2 \mathbb{Z}$ a lattice in \mathbb{C} . Before analyzing group actions on \mathbb{C}/Λ , let us first classify its automorphisms.

Proposition 3.2.1. Let \mathbb{C}/Λ_1 and \mathbb{C}/Λ_2 be two tori. The holomorphic (nonconstant) maps $f : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_1 \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_2$ are exactly the maps of the form:

$$f_{a,b}: [z]_1 \in \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_1 \mapsto [az+b]_2 \in \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_2,$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_2$.

Proof. Let's denote $\pi_i : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_i$ the canonical projection (which is also the unique universal covering of \mathbb{C}/Λ_i). Let $f : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_1 \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_2$ be a holomorphic map. The composition $f \circ \pi_1 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_2$ is a universal covering of \mathbb{C}/Λ_2 . Therefore, there exists a covering automorphism $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f \circ \pi_1 = \pi_2 \circ \tilde{f}$. From this, we deduce that \tilde{f} is a biholomorphic map of the form $\tilde{f}(z) = az + b$ (this follows from standard results on universal coverings). Consequently, $f = f_{a,b}$. Furthermore, for any $\omega \in \Lambda_1$, we must have:

$$f([z+\omega]_1) = f([z]_1) \implies [az+b+a\omega]_2 = [az+b]_2 \implies a\omega \in \Lambda_2.$$

Thus, $a\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_2$.

Conversely, if $f_{a,b} : [z]_1 \mapsto [az+b]_2$ is defined with $a\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_2$, then it is easy to verify that $f_{a,b}$ is well-defined and holomorphic since π_i are local biholomorphisms.

Corollary 3.2.2. $f_{a,b}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $a\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$.

Proof. (\implies) Assume $f_{a,b}$ is an isomorphism. Denote its inverse by $f_{c,d}$, where $f_{c,d}([z]_2) = [cz+d]_1$. As $f_{a,b} \circ f_{c,d}([z]_2) = [z]_2$, we have:

$$f_{a,b}(f_{c,d}([z]_2)) = [z]_2 \implies [acz + ad + b]_2 = [z]_2.$$

Since \mathbb{C}/Λ_2 is discrete, this implies ac = 1 and $b + ad \in \Lambda_2$. Thus, $c = a^{-1}$ and $a\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$.

 (\Leftarrow) Conversely, if $a\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$, then $a^{-1}\Lambda_2 \subseteq \Lambda_1$. It follows that the map $f_{a^{-1},-a^{-1}b}$ is well-defined, holomorphic, and inverse to $f_{a,b}$.

Remark 3.2.3. In the case of an automorphism of \mathbb{C}/Λ , we can show that |a| = 1. Indeed, since \mathbb{C}/Λ is compact and discrete, consider $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$ of minimal modulus. Then:

$$|\omega| \le |a\omega| \quad and \quad |\omega| \le |a^{-1}\omega|,$$

which implies |a| = 1.

Let $T \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)$ be the (infinite) subgroup generated by translations $([z] \mapsto [z+b])$ with $b \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote also $\operatorname{Aut}_0(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)$ the subgroup generated by automorphisms fixing 0 ($[z] \mapsto [az]$, with $a \in \mathbb{C}$). The subgroup T is normal in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)$, and by the previous results, $\operatorname{Aut}_0(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)$ is a complement of T in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)$. Hence, group theory implies:

$$\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda) = T \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}_0(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda).$$

Lemma 3.2.4. Aut₀(\mathbb{C}/Λ) is a cyclic group of order 2, 4, or 6.

Proof. Let $f : [z] \mapsto [az]$ be an element of $\operatorname{Aut}_0(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)$. Let $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$ be a lattice point of minimal modulus. By Remark 3.2.3, we know |a| = 1. Exclude the trivial case $a = \pm 1$.

Since $a \neq \pm 1$ and $a\Lambda = \Lambda$, we have $\langle \omega, a\omega \rangle = \Lambda$ and $a^2\omega \in \Lambda$. Thus, there exist integers m and n such that $a^2 = ma + n$. As the roots of $z^2 - mz - n = 0$ must lie on the unit circle (|z| = 1), we have $|m| \leq 2$ and |n| = 1. Hence, a belongs to either \mathbb{U}_4 or \mathbb{U}_6 .

Remark 3.2.5. Aut₀(\mathbb{C}/Λ) is isomorphic to:

- $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ if $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} \oplus i\mathbb{Z}$,
- $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ if $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} \oplus e^{2i\pi/6}\mathbb{Z}$,
- $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ otherwise.

For example, noting $\Lambda = \langle 1, e^{2i\pi/6} \rangle$, the hexagonal torus \mathbb{C}/Λ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[i]$. In general, for $\Lambda = \langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle$, two tori \mathbb{C}/Λ and \mathbb{C}/Λ' (with $\tau = \omega_1/\omega_2$) are isomorphic if and only if $\tau' = \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$ with $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$

3.3 Actions on compact surfaces of genus 2

This subsection will be less calculative and more enumerative compared to the others. Some results require prerequisites such as divisors, the Riemann-Roch theorem, and occasionally Galois-theoretic tools, which we won't explore here. References will be provided for results that are beyond the scope of the notions introduced in Part 1.

We start with the following classical result:

Theorem 3.3.1. Every compact Riemann surface of genus 2 is hyperelliptic.

See [Scha] or [Bobe] for a proof of this theorem.

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 2. By Hurwitz's theorem, we have $|\operatorname{Aut}(X)| \leq 84(g-1) = 84$. In fact, this inequality is strict for g = 2. To see this, consider the following reasoning by contradiction.

Assume there exists a Hurwitz group G (see Remark 2.3.2) of order 84 . By definition, G satisfies the relations:

$$x^2 = y^3 = (xy)^7 = 1,$$

and G is necessarily simple. To see why, assume G has a non-trivial normal subgroup $H \triangleleft G$. Then the quotient G/H is also a Hurwitz group. More precisely, the projection $\pi : G \to G/H$ maps G 's generators x, y to generators $\pi(x), \pi(y) \in G/H$, which satisfy the same defining relations. Thus, G/H would also be a Hurwitz group, but of strictly smaller order than 84, which contradicts the minimality of G 's order.

Hence, G must be simple. However, by Sylow's theorem (Remark 3.3.2), a group of order 84 cannot be simple. Thus, $|\operatorname{Aut}(X)| < 84$.

In fact, this bound can be improved further. At the end of the 19th century, O. Bolza explicitly showed that:

$$|\operatorname{Aut}(X)| \le 48,$$

and even classified Riemann surfaces of genus 2 with particularly large automorphism groups. Specifically, Bolza demonstrated that if $|\operatorname{Aut}(X)| > 2$, then X must correspond to the compactification of one of the following six hyperelliptic curves:

Case	Equation for X	$\operatorname{Aut}(X)$	$ \operatorname{Aut}(X) $
(1)	$y^2 = x^6 - 1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)$	24
(2)	$y^2 = x^5 - 1$	\mathbb{Z}_{10}	10
(3)	$y^2 = x(x^4 - 1)$	$\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$	48
(4)	$y^2 = (x^3 - 1)(x^3 - r^3)$	D_6	12
(5)	$y^2 = x(x^2 - 1)(x^2 - r^2)$	D_4	8
(6)	$y^{2} = (x^{2} - 1)(x^{2} - r_{1}^{2})(x^{2} - r_{2}^{2})$	D_2	4

For further details, see Bolza's original work [Bolz], T. Kuusalo and M. Näätänen's article [Kuus], or S. Allen Broughton's paper [Brou].

In the next paragraph, automorphisms of a curve of the form $y^2 = P(x)$ will be discussed. Here, we allow automorphisms of the affine part to be extended trivially to the compactification.

Let us denote:

$$\sigma(x,y) = (x,-y),$$

the hyperelliptic involution, which exchanges the two sheets of the hyperelliptic double cover. For each curve above, there are canonical automorphisms, which in some cases generate Aut(X). For example:

• Case (2): Aut(X) is cyclic and generated by:

$$(x,y)\mapsto (e^{2i\pi/5}x,-y).$$

• Case (5): Aut(X) is generated by σ and:

$$(x, y) \mapsto (-x, y).$$

To determine $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ in other cases, one can either examine the behavior near infinity or study the quotient group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)/\langle \sigma \rangle$. This group identifies as a subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ preserving the branch points of the projection $(x, y) \mapsto x$ (see Remark 2.3.2).

Remark 3.3.2. Let G be a group of order $84 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$. Denote $n_7(G)$ the number of Sylow 7-subgroups. By Sylow's theorem:

$$n_7(G) \mid \frac{84}{7} = 12 \quad and \quad n_7(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{7}.$$

Thus, $n_7(G) = 1$, so G has a unique Sylow 7-subgroup, which is therefore normal in G. Hence, G cannot be simple.

A similar argument shows that a group of order 42 or 126 cannot be simple either.

3.4 Actions on the Klein quartic (g = 3)

Let K be a compact Riemann surface of genus 3. From Hurwitz's theorem, we know that a finite group acting holomorphically and effectively on K has its cardinal bounded by 84(3-1) = 168. We will now show that this bound can actually be reached.

Consider the projective curve:

$$K = \{ [X : Y : Z] \in \mathbb{CP}^2 \mid X^3Y + ZY^3 + XZ^3 = 0 \}.$$

This curve is known as the Klein quartic. Let us verify that K is indeed a compact Riemann surface.

Compactness and Smoothness

The compactness of K follows trivially, since it is defined in the projective space \mathbb{CP}^2 . To prove that K is smooth, it suffices to show that its defining equation describes a smooth algebraic curve in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Smoothness is verified on each affine open set of \mathbb{CP}^2 . We proceed as follows:

• On $U_X = \{ [X : Y : Z] \mid X \neq 0 \}$: In this open set, the equation $X^3Y + ZY^3 + XZ^3 = 0$ transforms into:

$$z^3 + zy^3 + y = 0,$$

where z = Z/X and y = Y/X. Define $f(z, y) = z^3 + zy^3 + y$. Its partial derivatives are:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 3z^2 + y^3, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 3zy^2 + 1.$$

If (z,y) satisfies both $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$, then:

$$3zy^2 + 1 = 0 \implies z = \frac{-1}{3y^2}.$$

Substituting z back into the initial equation f(z, y) = 0, we get:

$$\frac{-1}{27y^6} - \frac{y}{3} + y = 0 \implies y^7 = \frac{1}{18}.$$

Furthermore, $z^2 = -\frac{y^3}{3}$, which would imply $y^7 = -\frac{1}{3}$, a contradiction. Hence, $z^3 + zy^3 + y = 0$ describes a smooth curve in \mathbb{C}^2 .

• Similar arguments hold for the charts U_Y and U_Z , where similar substitutions lead to equivalent equations. Since no singular points exist in any open set, K is smooth globally.

Thus, K is a smooth, compact Riemann surface. Additionally, the irreducibility of the defining polynomial guarantees that K is connected.

Automorphism Group of K

We now show that the automorphism group $G = \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ achieves the maximal possible order for g = 3, i.e., $|G| = 168 = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$. Recall that $\operatorname{Aut}(K)$ is finite since $g(K) \ge 2$. Below, we explicitly describe three automorphisms of K of orders 2, 3, and 7, respectively:

1. An automorphism of order 2:

$$\tau = \frac{i}{\sqrt{7}} \begin{pmatrix} \omega - \omega^6 & \omega^2 - \omega^5 & \omega^4 - \omega^3 \\ \omega^2 - \omega^5 & \omega^4 - \omega^3 & \omega - \omega^6 \\ \omega^4 - \omega^3 & \omega - \omega^6 & \omega^2 - \omega^5 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\omega = e^{2i\pi/7}$ is a primitive 7th root of unity. 2. An automorphism of order 3:

$$\mu([x:y:z]) = [z:x:y].$$

3. An automorphism of order 7:

$$\gamma([x:y:z]) = [\omega^4 x : \omega^2 y : \omega z].$$

The group $\langle \mu, \gamma \rangle$ is isomorphic to S_3 (the symmetric group of degree 3), and $\langle \gamma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$. The following relations hold:

$$\mu^{-1}\gamma\mu = \gamma^2, \quad \tau^{-1}\mu\tau = \mu^2.$$

These relations will be used in the proof of the following theorem.

The Simplicity and Order of G

Theorem 3.4.1. The group G = Aut(K) is a simple group of order 168.

Proof. By Sylow's theorem, we analyze the possible normal subgroups of G. 1. Suppose 7 | |*H*|. If $H \triangleleft G$ is normal, it must contain all 7-Sylow subgroups. Since $n_7(G) > 1$ and $n_7(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$, we have $n_7(G) = 8$, implying $|H| \ge 56$. Then $G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, contradicting the subgroup structure established earlier.

2. Suppose 3 ||H||. Using similar arguments, H must contain all 3-Sylow subgroups, and hence μ must belong to H. Furthermore, since $\mu^{-1}\gamma\mu = \gamma^2$, it follows that γ would also lie in H, contradicting the structure of G.

3. Finally, suppose $2 \mid |H|$. By Sylow's theorem, this implies contradictions in the subgroup structure as well.

Since H cannot be nontrivial, G must be simple. Moreover, the fact that $|G| \leq 168$ forces |G| = 168, as this is the only value satisfying Hurwitz's bound and Sylow's constraints.

Remark

In the proof, we only used the automorphisms τ , μ , and γ . It can be shown that $\langle \tau, \mu, \gamma \rangle$ forms a simple group of order 168 and generates $\operatorname{Aut}(K)$.



Figure: Representation of the Klein Quartic Surface.

4 Appendix

4.1 Coverings and Riemann Surfaces

Let V be a real connected variety. The variety V satisfies all the assumptions of the classification theorem for connected coverings (arc-connected, locally arc-connected, and locally simply connected), which ensures that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the following two sets:

 $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text{classes of isomorphisms} \\ \text{of coverings } F: U \to V \end{array}\right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{c} \text{conjugacy classes of subgroups} \\ H \subset \pi_1(V,q) \end{array}\right\}.$

Remark 4.1.1. As a reminder, the theorem applies only to **CALCA** coverings (arc-connected and locally arc-connected spaces). By the definition of V, we can show that U is also **CALCA**. Indeed, taking a countable basis $(B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of V, we can assume without loss of generality that each B_n is arc-connected and that

$$F^{-1}(B_n) = \bigsqcup_{i \in I_n} B_n^i,$$

where each B_n^i is homeomorphic to B_n via F. The family $\{B_n^i, n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in I_n\}$ is thus a countable basis of arc-connected opens of U. Analogously to showing that a connected variety is arc-connected, we deduce that U is arc-connected. Finally, we have shown that U naturally has the structure of a connected topological variety.

In the case where V is a Riemann surface, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1.2. Let V be a Riemann surface and $F : U \to V$ a connected covering. There exists a unique Riemann surface structure on U making F holomorphic.

Proof. We equip U with a Riemann surface structure making F holomorphic by defining the charts:

$$\varphi \circ F|_O : O \to \mathbb{C},$$

where φ is a coordinate chart on V and $O \subset U$ is an open set such that $F|_O: O \to F(O) \subset V$ is a homeomorphism. The compatibility of charts on U is induced by the compatibility of charts on V.

We can easily check that U is separated, since F is a covering. Furthermore, U is both connected and countably based (from Remark 4.1.1). Thus, U satisfies the axioms of a Riemann surface.

For uniqueness, suppose that (ψ_i, U_i) is an atlas on U making F holomorphic. Then $\varphi \circ F|_{U_i} \circ \psi_i^{-1}$ is holomorphic. This ensures the uniqueness of the complex structure defined above.

From the previous lemma, we deduce that if $F_i: U_i \to V$ (i = 1, 2) are two coverings (where V is a Riemann surface) that belong to the same class of covering isomorphisms, then U_1 and U_2 are analytically isomorphic. This is because F_i will be a local biholomorphism via the respective induced complex structures.

4.2 Homology and Fundamental Group of the genus gtorus T_q

For X a CW-complex, let's denote $C^{CW}_*(X; R)$ the cell complex and $C^{sing}_*(X; R)$ the singular complex, with R any commutative ring. We will now compute the homology groups and the fundamental group of the g-hole torus T_g . Reminder:

- $C_n^{CW}(X; R) = H_n^{sing}(X^n, X^{n-1})$ (homology of $X^{(n)}$ relative to $X^{(n-1)}$).
- Noting $\partial_n : C_n^{sing}(X; R)$ the edge application for singular homology, the edge application for cellular homology is defined as follows:

$$d_n: H_n^{sing}(X^{(n)}, X^{(n-1)}) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} H_{n-1}^{sing}(X^{(n-1)}; R) \xrightarrow{(j_{n-1})_*} H_{n-1}^{sing}(X^{(n-1)}, X^{(n-2)})$$

with $j_n: X^{(n)} \longrightarrow (X^{(n)}, X^{(n-1)})$ the canonical inclusion.

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, H_n^{CW}(X; R) \cong H_n^{sing}(X; R).$
- $C_n^{CW}(X; R)$ is a free *R*-module of basis $(e_{\alpha}^n)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_p}$ the family of *n*-open *n*-dimensional cells of *X*.

(1) Cellular Homology of T_g .

We can represent T_g using a 4g -gon as shown in the following image, constructed by the successive attachment of handles, each represented by a square.



Figure: Torus with g holes T_q

 T_g is therefore naturally equipped with a CW-complex structure: - A single 0 -cell e^0 , corresponding to all the vertices of the polygon, - 2g 1 -cells corresponding to the edges $a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_g, b_g$, - A single 2 -cell e^2 , corresponding to the interior of the polygon.

Using the properties of CW complex homology, the cellular chain groups are:

$$C_n^{CW}(T_g; R) = \begin{cases} R & \text{if } n = 0, 2, \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2g} R & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Since T_g is arc-connected, we have:

$$H_0^{\text{sing}}(T_g; R) \cong H_0^{CW}(T_g; R) = C_0^{CW}(T_g; R) / \text{im}(d_1) = R / \text{im}(d_1).$$

It follows that $d_1 = 0$, and therefore $\ker(d_1) = C_1^{CW}(T_g; R)$. Now for d_2 , since $C_2^{CW}(T_g; R)$ is generated by the 2-cell e^2 , we calculate the image of e^2 . Triangulating e^2 correctly, as a union of 2-simplices, and taking into account the orientation of the edges:

$$\partial_2(e^2) = 0,$$

which implies that $d_2 = 0$.

Finally, the homology groups of T_g are:

$$H_n^{CW}(T_g; R) = \begin{cases} R & \text{if } n = 0, 2, \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2g} R & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) Fundamental Group of T_q .

Here, let us calculate the fundamental group of T_g for the case g=2 . The general case is analogous. To start, recall van Kampen's theorem:

Theorem 4.2.1 (van Kampen's theorem). Let $X = U \cup V$ be a topological space, expressed as the union of two arc-connected open sets U and V, where $U \cap V$ is also arc-connected. Then for any $z \in U \cap V$:

- (i) The natural morphism $\pi_1(U, z) * \pi_1(V, z) \to \pi_1(X, z)$ is surjective.
- (ii) The kernel of the above morphism is the distinguished subgroup N generated by elements $i_1(w)i_2(w)^{-1}$ of the free product, for all $w \in \pi_1(U \cap V, z)$, where i_1 and i_2 are the canonical inclusions of $\pi_1(U, z)$ and $\pi_1(V, z)$ into $\pi_1(X, z)$. Thus:

$$\pi_1(X, z) \cong \frac{\pi_1(U, z) * \pi_1(V, z)}{N}$$

Let us consider U and V as shown in the following illustration:



Let $w \in \pi_1(U \cap V, z)$. The open set U retracts to the boundary loop of the 4-gon, so U is homotopically equivalent to the wedge sum of 4 circles. Thus, w is homotopic in U to:

$$a_1b_1a_1^{-1}b_1^{-1}a_2b_2a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1}.$$

The open set V is contractible, so the loop w is trivial in V. Finally, the intersection $U \cap V$ is homotopically equivalent to \mathbb{S}^1 , so $\pi_1(U \cap V, z) = \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that:

$$\pi_1(T_2, z) \cong \langle a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \mid a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1} b_2^{-1} = 1 \rangle.$$

Generalizing to $g \ge 2$, the fundamental group of T_g is:

$$\pi_1(T_g) \cong \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] = 1 \rangle.$$

4.3 Proof of the Relation $\mu(\Lambda) = |\Gamma : \Lambda|\mu(\Gamma)$

Let us prove that the measure μ , which computes the hyperbolic area of a subset $A \subset \mathbb{H}$, is invariant under the action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$.

Let $A \subset \mathbb{H}$ (assumed measurable) and $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Writing z = x + iy and $T(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$, we know from the Cauchy-Riemann equations that:

$$\det(dT(x,y)) = \partial_x u \cdot \partial_y v - \partial_y u \cdot \partial_x v = (\partial_x u)^2 + (\partial_x v)^2 = \frac{1}{|cz+d|^4}$$

Moreover, since $v = \frac{y}{|cz+d|^2}$, we calculate:

$$\mu(T(A)) = \int_{T(A)} \frac{du \, dv}{v^2} = \int_A \frac{|cz+d|^4}{y^2} \det(dT(x,y)) dx \, dy = \mu(A).$$

Thus, the measure μ is invariant under the action of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Now, consider a fundamental domain F_{Γ} of Γ . Let $\{T_i\}_{i \in I \subset \mathbb{N}}$ be a right coset decomposition of Γ with respect to Λ . We claim that the set:

$$F_{\Lambda} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} T_i(F_{\Gamma})$$

is a fundamental domain for Λ . This will allow us to conclude, as we will then have:

$$\mu(\Lambda) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu(T_i(F_{\Gamma})) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu(F_{\Gamma}) = |\Gamma : \Lambda| \mu(\Gamma).$$

- It is easy to check that $\overline{\mathring{F}_\Lambda}=F_\Lambda$.
- $\mathbb{H} = \bigcup_{S \in \Lambda} S(F_{\Lambda})$: For any $z \in \mathbb{H}$, there exist $y \in F_{\Gamma}$ and $T \in \Gamma$ such that z = T(y). There also exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T \in \Lambda T_i$, so there exists $S \in \Lambda$ such that z = S(w) with $w = T_i(y) \in F_{\Lambda}$.
- $\mathring{F}_{\Lambda} \cap S(\mathring{F}_{\Lambda}) = \emptyset$ for all $S \neq id$: If there were z = S(y) such that $z \in \mathring{F}_{\Lambda} \cap S(\mathring{F}_{\Lambda})$ for some $S \neq id$, then there would exist $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z, y \in T_i(F_{\Gamma}) \cap T_j(F_{\Gamma})$. By the disjointness property of F_{Γ} , $T_i = ST_j$, but since T_i and T_j are distinct coset representatives, i = j, which leads to a contradiction.

References

- [Mira] R.Miranda, Algebraic Curves and Riemann Surfaces, American Mathematical Society, 1995.
- [Dolg] I. Dolgachev, Topics in Classical Algebraic Geometry, Part I, 2014.
- [Faul] Eivind Fauli, Thesis on Knot Theory, 2021.
- [Berg] N.Begeron, A.Guilloux, Introduction to Riemann surfaces.
- [Nima] N.Anvari, Automorphisms of Riemann surfaces, August 2009.
- [Cejk] N.Cejka, Jørgensen Lemma, 2016.
- [Favr] Charles Favre, Riemann surfaces and theory of coverings.
- [Live] M.Livernet, Algebraic topology, ENS Paris course.
- [Rydh] J.Rydholm, Classification of Compact Orientable Surfaces using Morse Theory, August 2016.
- [Fark] H.M. Farkas, I. Kra, *Riemann Surfaces (second edition)*.
- [Thom] Carsten Thomassen, The Jordan-Schönflies Theorem and the Classification of Surfaces, 1992.
- [Fisc] G.Fischer, Plane Algebraic Curves, American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [Kato] S.Katok, Fuchsian Groups ,1992.
- [Unif] Henri Paul de Saint-Gervais, Uniformization of Riemann surfaces.
- [Tele] C. Teleman, *Riemann Surfaces*, 2003.
- [Scha] Paul Schmutz Schaller, Geometric characterization of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces.
- [Bobe] Alexander I. Bobenko, Introduction to Compact Riemann Surfaces.
- [Macb] A.M.Macbeath, On a theorem of Hurwitz, 1960.

- [Bolz] O.Bolza, On Binary Sextics with Linear Transformations into Themselves.
- [Kuus] T.Kuusalo, M. Näätänen, Geometric uniformization in genus 2, 1995.
- [Brou] S. Allen Broughton, Classifying finite group actions on surfaces of low genus, 1990.